

London Borough of Southwark
London
SE1P 5LX

Email to licensing@southwark.gov.uk

I object to the premises license application by Bankside Open Spaces Trust:
876473.

I think it is absolutely worn that this application should be held on the anniversary
of the London Bridge Attack.

If the license was granted it would be against the following licensing objectives.

1. **The protection of children from harm**
Unnecessary number of licensed alcohol selling vendors. Not a good environment
for children or families
2. **The prevention of crime and disorder**
More alcohol in a cumulative impact zone. Why can't existing venues be used.
3. **Public safety**
Potential for a terrorist attack. Police will already be stretched in the local area.
4. **The prevention of public nuisance**
the event will be a public nuisance to me. I would be outraged if this disrespectful
event held on a day when people were injured, affected and killed will be
remembered. It is disgraceful that the charity would consider this.

The application should therefore be refused.

Yours sincerely

██████████
██████████ Disney Place, London, SE1 ██████████

Other person 2

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 4:20 PM
To: Regen, Licensing <Licensing.Regen@southwark.gov.uk>
Subject: Application 876473

Application: 876473

Bankside Open Spaces Trust / Charlotte Gilsenen

Bankside Open Spaces Festival: Friday 3 June 2022

As a local resident, I wish to object to this application. I consider it to be a potential public nuisance and fear for public safety.

Firstly, the date of application as it appears on the public notices (5 December 2022) is incorrect. Does this not compromise the integrity of the application?

The application refers that up to 5000 people are expected. Yet according to organisers of the previous events which took place in the same areas being proposed the estimates given after each event were: 12000 in 2019; and 7500 in 2018. Therefore is the estimate for the proposed event correct?

The application purports to be for the staging of a community event yet there seems to be little or no consultation with residents or others in the community.

If the applicant has failed to engage or consult the community before making this submission for extensive street closures, what confidence can we have that they will engage in or consult in the planning or staging of the proposed event.

The proposed event seems to replicate an almost similar format to The Great Get Together Bankside - a community event in Bankside initiated by me in 2017, forming part of a national event inspired by the late Jo Cox MP. The proposed event makes no reference to this legacy and has detached from the nationwide Great Get Together which takes place annually at the end of June. There is a risk of confusion within the community, not helped by a lack of local consultation or outreach. This is at variance with all previous Great Get Together Bankside events which sought to engage the community at the outset and before any planning applications were considered.

Friday 3 June forms part of the Platinum Jubilee celebrations. The applicant makes no reference to this celebration in any material currently available. The applicant has made no or minimal engagement with others in the community, local residents, to ascertain views, wishes, or plans being considered in the area to mark the Jubilee.

More importantly to the local community, Friday 3 June 2022 marks the fifth anniversary of the terrorist attacks on London Bridge and Borough Market. As in previous years, events will take place locally and throughout the day to mark the anniversary. Given people were killed and injured with many residents of the area directly affected by the atrocity and the aftermath from that attack, it is offensive for the applicant to consider hosting a street-based celebration so close to the affected areas on this date. From a public safety perspective, how can we be confident the applicant will consider appropriate measures to ensure safety and security of anyone present: eg what if there were to be a copycat attack to target the anniversary of the attack on the area? What risks have been considered and what mitigations considered?

The applicant has made minimal effort to promote this application. Although some laminated signs have been attached to lampposts, the applicant has not added details to noticeboards for its own parks/gardens on Union Street and has failed to post details on any of their social media channels or online.

I have discussed these matters with others in the area who agree with the points raised. The application should not be granted without further discussion and engagement with the community.

██████████

2 February 2022

██ ██████████

Tooley Street

London

SE1 █████

████████████████████

Other person 3

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, February 2, 2022 5:20 PM
To: Regen, Licensing <Licensing.Regen@southwark.gov.uk>
Subject: Licensing application 876473

Application: 876473
Bankside Open Spaces Trust / Charlotte Gilsenen
Bankside Open Spaces Festival: Friday 3 June 2022

Dear Licensing,

I am raising my concerns about the license application as shown above.

1. the date on the application is incorrect.
2. As a member of the community and as someone who has helped out at all the great togeth-ers since the beginning, I can tell you that none ion the community that I know have been con-sulted on this day event.
3. The date of this event is also a remembrance date of the terrorist attack in the Brough market - a sensitive date for all of the community - and. - it is the queens jubilee celebration. There is no mention of either of these major events - or a consultation with the community about this.
4. What is their security provision for this event? As there are many events happening on this date.

We have experienced a major increase of antisocial behaviour in the area and encouraging a huge party with alcohol in the street is worrying, but also an increase in mugging/drugs/weap-ons.

I am concerned,

[REDACTED]

